UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ==========X FREDERICK E. LANDRIGAN.

Plaintiff

against –

VERIFIED ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

Case No.: 07 CIV.8669

LEO R. KAYTES, JR., LEOKAYTES FORD, INC., P.O. RON DONNATIN and THE TOWN OF WARWICK.

Defendants

The Defendant, P.O. RON DONNATIN, by his attorneys, Hodges Walsh & Slater, LLP, as and for an Answer to plaintiff's Amended Complaint, allege as follows:

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

 This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in the subparagraphs contained in the "Preliminary Statement" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE PARTIES

This answering defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs "1", "2", and "3", of the Plaintiff's Complaint.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "6" of the plaintiff's complaint.
- This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "7" of the plaintiff's complaint, but admits that Orange County is within the Southern District of New York.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE FACTS

- This answering defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs "8", "9", "10", "11", "12", "13", "15", "16", "23", and "24", of the Plaintiff's Complaint.
- This answering defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraph "14" of the plaintiff's complaint, but admits that the plaintiff stopped payment on the check.
- This answering defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraph "19" of the plaintiff's complaint, but admits that Police Officer Donnatin contacted the plaintiff concerning plaintiff's failure to pay for a replaced engine and a replaced transmission.
- 8. This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "17", "18", "20", "21", "22", "25", "26", "27", "28", "29", "30", "31", "32", and "33" of the plaintiff's complaint.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 9. Repeats and reiterates with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein each and every response made heretofore in answer to paragraphs "1" through "33" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 10. This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "35" of the plaintiff's complaint.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- Repeats and reiterates with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein each and every response made heretofore in answer to paragraphs "1" through "35" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 12. This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "37" of the plaintiff's complaint.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 13. Repeats and reiterates with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein each and every response made heretofore in answer to paragraphs "1" through "37" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.
- This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "39" of the plaintiff's complaint.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO PENDENT STATE CLAIMS FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 15. Repeats and reiterates with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein each and every response made heretofore in answer to paragraphs "1" through "39" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 16. This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "41" of the plaintiff's complaint.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

17. Repeats and reiterates with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein each and every response made heretofore in answer to paragraphs "1" through "41" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.

18. This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "43" of the plaintiff's complaint.

AS AND FOR ANSWER TO SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 19. Repeats and reiterates with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein each and every response made heretofore in answer to paragraphs "1" through "43" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.
- This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "45" of the plaintiff's complaint.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 21. Repeats and reiterates with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein each and every response made heretofore in answer to paragraphs "1" through "45" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 22. This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "47" of the plaintiff's complaint.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 23. Repeats and reiterates with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein each and every response made heretofore in answer to paragraphs "1" through "47" of the Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 24. This answering defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "49" of the plaintiff's complaint and refers all questions of law to the Court.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

25. The plaintiff's complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief may be granted.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

26. The plaintiff's claims do not rise to the level of a constitutional violation as against this defendant.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

27. The plaintiff's claims are barred by his failure to comply with the applicable provisions of the General Municipal Law of the State of New York.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

28. The defendant, Police Officer Ron Donnatin, is entitled to qualified immunity.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

29. The defendant, Police Officer Ron Donnatin, is entitled to absolute immunity.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

30. The defendant's conduct was based upon probable cause.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

31. The plaintiff's claim for punitive damages is barred by public policy and the laws of the State of New York.

AS AND FOR AN EIGTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The defendant acted in good faith and without malice.

AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

33. The plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused and/or contributed to by reason of the culpable conduct of the plaintiff.

AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

34. The plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused and/or contributed to by reason of the improper and unlawful acts of the plaintiff.

AS AND FOR AN ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

35. The plaintiff's damages, if any, were created and caused, in whole or in part, by the plaintiff's failure to take steps to mitigate such damages.

AS AND FOR A CROSS-CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT, LEO R. KAYTES, JR., AND LEO KATES FORD, INC.

36. That the defendant, P.O. RON DONNATIN, denies that he is guilty of fault as alleged in the complaint but further alleges that, if the plaintiff recovers a verdict against this defendant then this answering defendant, on the basis of apportionment of responsibility for the alleged occurrence, is entitled to contribution and/or indemnification from the co-defendants, Leo R. Kaytes, Jr., and Leo Kaytes Ford, Inc., for all or part of any verdict or judgment that the plaintiff may recover against this defendant.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, P.O. RON DONNATIN, demands judgment dismissing the Plaintiff's Complaint herein or in the event that the plaintiff recovers from this defendant, then and in that event, this defendant demands judgment over and against the co-defendants, Leo R. Kaytes, Jr., and Leo Kaytes Ford, Inc., for all or part of said verdict or judgment that the plaintiff may recover against this defendant, together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated:White Plains, New York November 9, 2007

JOHN J. WALSH (4092)

Hodges Walsh & Slater, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant, P.O. Ron Donnatin

55 Church Street, Suite 211 White Plains, NY 10601

914-385-6000

Fax: 914-385-6060

To: Clifford G. Kleinbaum

Attorney for Plaintiff

11 Martine Avenue, 12th Floor

White Plains, NY 10606

914-644-2000

Case 7:07-cv-08669-WCC Document 8 Filed 11/09/2007 Page 8 of 9

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEW YORK)
SS.:
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)

I, THE UNDERSIGNED, AM AN ATTORNEY ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN THE COURTS OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, AND SAY THAT: I AM THE ATTORNEY OF RECORD, OR OF COUNSEL WITH THE ATTORNEY OF RECORD, FOR THE DEFENDANT, P.O. RON DONNATIN. I HAVE READ THE ANNEXED VERIFIED ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT, KNOW THE CONTENTS THEREOF, AND THAT SAME ARE TRUE TO MY OWN KNOWLEDGE, EXCEPT THOSE MATTERS THEREIN WHICH ARE STATED TO BE ALLEGED UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF, AND AS TO THOSE MATTERS THEREIN NOT STATED UPON KNOWLEDGE, IS BASED UPON THE FOLLOWING: MATERIAL IN THE FILE, INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS CONTAINED IN SAID FILE.

Dated:White Plains, New York November 9, 2007

JOHN J. WALSH

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF NEW YORK)
SS.:)
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)

TRACIE TOBACCO being duly sworn, deposes and says:

I am employed by the law firm of HODGES, WALSH & SLATER, LLP, counsel for Defendant, P.O. Ron Donnatin, in the above action and I am over the age of 18 years and I am not a party to this action. On November 9, 2007 I served a true copy of **Verified Answer to Amended Complaint** in the following manner: by mailing same in a sealed envelope, with postage prepaid thereon, in a post office or official depository of the U.S. Postal Service within the State of New York addressed to the last known address of all attorneys in this action as indicated below:

TO: Clifford G. Kleinbaum Attorney for Plaintiff 11 Martine Avenue, 12th Floor White Plains, NY 10606 914-644-2000

TRACIE TOBACCO

Sworn to before me this

Day of November, 2007

Notary Public

Notary Public State of New York
Notary Public State of New York
No. 4521450
Commission Expires May 31, 20